



EUROPEAN CENTRAL BANK

# **Discussion of “What Defines ‘News’ in Foreign Exchange Markets?”**

**by Kathryn Dominguez and Freyan Panthaki**

**Marcel Fratzscher**  
**European Central Bank**

JIMF-CRIF-TAFI conference on  
“Foreign Exchange Markets”  
San Juan, 17 March 2005

The usual disclaimers apply.

# I. Introduction

- **Paper addresses an important issue**
  - How important are “fundamentals” news vs. “non-fundamentals” news?
  - Direct effect vs. indirect effect (via order flow) on exchange rate
  - Effect on return vs. volatility
- **My comments focus on**
  - How well are “news” measured ?
  - How much can we understand from this for the larger exchange rate puzzle (Meese & Rogoff) ?

## 2. Measuring “news”

- **3 categories of news**
  - **Macro news “surprise” (signed)**
  - **“None-scheduled fundamentals” news and none-scheduled non-fundamentals” news (unsigned)**
- **Why are there so few “news” (~5 per day)?**
  - **Search criteria (“money”, “foreign exchange” and “economics”) – are there more fundamentals?**
  - **Timing issue crucial: headline news versus news articles – same news but latter often with “considerable” delay – problem for 20-minute windows?**

# Measuring “news”

- **Macroeconomic announcement news**
  - **Only US news?**
  - **Only one coefficient in model – assumption of same directional effects and same magnitude of effects for all types of macro news**

## 3. Results

- **Overall results**
  - **Some statistical significance of coefficients...**
  - **...but very low contribution to overall goodness-of-fit**
- **Mean equation (I)**
  - **Puzzling to find effects of unsigned news – what does it mean?**
  - **High significance of Japanese interventions for US dollar – euro exchange rate (4 out of 10 significant variables)**

# Results

- **“Volatility” equation (2)**
  - **“Volatility” measured as absolute exchange rate returns**
  - **High R-squared ( $> 0.30$ ), likely explained mostly by persistence of volatility**
  - **What would results be when using *conditional* volatility (i.e. conditioning on news in mean equation) ?**

# Results

- **News effects via order flow (4) & (6)**

- $OF_t = f(\text{news}) + v_t \quad (4)$

- $v_t$  is interpreted as “non-news component of order flow”

- Low R-squared of news in (4) interpreted as “order flow is largely not driven by ‘news’ ”

- $\Delta s_t = f(\text{news}) + \beta v_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (6)$

- $v_t$  is highly significant in (6) – which is interpreted that “non-news” of order flow is important driving factor of exchange rates

- Again: what are news?

- How well are news measured (~5 per day) ?

## 4. Comparison with Evans & Lyons (2003)

- **E&L (2003) find that**
  - two thirds of macro news are transmitted to exchange rates via order flow
  - versus this paper finds no significant effect of news via order flow
- **Why such different results**
  - E&L daily frequency, very broad set of macro news, use of heteroskedasticity approach that does not rely on measuring ex-ante expectations of news

## 5. Implications

- **What do the results say about the Meese-Rogoff induced debate?**
  - How well can we measure fundamentals and news?
  - Problem of “news” is that by definition they cannot be forecasted
  - What is the duration of effects found in the paper?
  - Ehrmann & Fratzscher (2005): limited explanatory power of macro news of daily exchange rate movements, but good *directional* explanation (~75%) of monthly changes
- **Overall: nice paper, with several interesting avenues for extension**